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Introduction

- The fire in the Mont Blanc Tunnel was a turning point in tunnel safety
- The fire occurred on 24 March 1999 and caused 39 fatalities
- Further large fires followed in the Tauern Tunnel in Austria and in the Gotthard tunnel in Switzerland
- These fires permanently changed our approach to tunnel safety
The Mont Blanc Tunnel

- Alpine tunnel between France and Italy
- Commissioned in 1965
- Bidirectional traffic
- Length 11.6 km
- 2 x 3.5 m traffic lanes
- Cross section 46 m²
- Moderate traffic volumes
Mont Blanc Tunnel Fire

- HGV with margarine
- Mechanical failure?
- 36 vehicles destroyed
- 39 fatalities
- Fire burned for 53 hours and reached temperatures of 1,000 °C
- 900 m structural damage
- Poor French / Italian coordination
Mont Blanc Tunnel Fire – Key Events - 1

- Belgian transport truck carrying flour and margarine entered the French-side portal and caught fire in the tunnel.
- After several kilometres, the driver realized something was wrong and unsuccessfully tried to fight the fire.
- Most drivers rolled up their windows and waited for rescue. Many did not survive.
Mont Blanc Tunnel Fire – Key Events - 2

• Due to meteorological conditions, airflow through the tunnel was from Italy to France.
• Authorities compounded the effect by pumping in further fresh air from the Italian side, feeding the fire and forcing black smoke through the length of the tunnel.
• Fire tenders stalled due to smoke flooding their engines. Fire fighters sheltered in fire cubicles; commander died in hospital.
Mont Blanc Tunnel Fire - Aftermath

- 3 years of closure
- Large national and regional economic losses
- Tunnel completely refurbished
Investigation and Refurbishment

- National and international investigations
- Design team was selected during summer 1999
- Very high political, economic and public pressure
- Challenging requirements to the design team
- Key requirement: Holistic, performance-based approach for tunnel safety
- Key issues for refurbishment:
  - Tunnel management
  - Tunnel control system
  - Direction of motorists
  - Self-rescue
  - Smoke ventilation
  - Intervention
Holistic Approach

- Users
- Infrastructure
- Operation
- Vehicles

Tunnel Safety

UNECE 2001
Tunnel Management

- Single tunnel-management organization MBT-EEIG
- Tunnel manager
- Safety officer
- ...
Key Safety Features

- Single emergency communication system for Italians and French
- 37 shelters for escape and firefighting
- HGVs monitored for overheating motors at portals
- Fire detectors every 3 m within tunnel
- 150m minimum distance between vehicles, monitored by radar
- Tunnel barriers at the portals
- Break-in radio frequencies for emergency announcements
- VMS for providing guidance in case of emergency
New Safety Arrangements

- 40 Barriers
- 37 Shelters
- 20 Radar units
- Smoke extraction
- 40 Traffic lights
- Evacuation passages
- Water supply line

Source: tunnelmb.net
Ventilation Design

- Distributed fresh air supply
- Smoke extraction every 100 m, min. 150 m³/s
- Jet fans for longitudinal airflow control
Fire-Fighting

• Professional teams on site + external support
• Portals + centre of the tunnel
• Training and equipment
User Information
European Response

• Western Europe Road Directors working group composed of representatives of the Alpine countries - common recommendations in September 2000.


• European Union funded research projects including DARTS, FIT, UPTUN, SIRTAKI, SAFE TUNNEL and Safe-T.

• European Union enacted a directive on minimum safety requirements for tunnels in the Trans-European Road Network in April 2004.
UK Implementation


• Emergency walkways, turning galleries, and laybys, not included due to the cost and difficulty of implementation and the risk of additional security hazards.


• Derogation process for innovative safety equipment or procedures now considered by UK ministers, not EU Commission or the Article 17 Committee.
Influence on World Road Association (PIARC) Standards

Reports issued in the aftermath of the Mont Blanc Tunnel fire:

• Examples of good practices for the operation and maintenance of road tunnels
• Vehicle emissions and air demand for road tunnels
• Leaflets on ‘safe driving in road tunnels’, produced with the European Commission
• Traffic incident management systems used in road tunnels
• Cross-section design for bi-directional road tunnels
• Quantitative Risk Assessment and Decision Support models jointly developed with the OECD
• Systems and equipment for fire and smoke control in road tunnels
The Evolution of Tunnel Safety

- **Approach**
  - Holistic vs. System-based
  - Codification
  - Risk analysis

- **Codification of Safety**
  - UNECE 2001
  - 2004/54/EC
  - National regulations
  - ITA, PIARC, ...
European Directive 2004/54/EC

- Restricted to tunnels on Trans-European Road Network (but serves as a guideline for other tunnels)
- Sets out the safety responsibilities for defined bodies
  - Administrative Authority
  - Tunnel Manager
  - Safety Officer
  - Inspection Entity
- Defines circumstances where risk analysis may be used (e.g. for innovative techniques)
- Requires Member States to report fires and accidents in tunnels
- Includes an annex with minimum safety infrastructure
Some Challenges

• Risk assessment approaches
• Innovative techniques
• System complexity
• Initial and operating costs
• Route-wide safety
Prescriptive vs Performance-Based Measures

- Risk acceptability limits vary from country to country
- Wide spectrum of risk analysis approaches used in Europe (e.g. scenario-based, system-based)
- Role of minimum tunnel operating requirements is undefined
Tunnel Refurbishment Process

• Implementation of 2004/54/EC is heterogeneous

• Some countries almost completed: France, Switzerland, Germany, Austria, Netherlands, ...

• Other countries are still working on implementation

• Status very heterogeneous:
  – TERN vs. remaining network
  – National vs. local authorities
  – Increasing gap between state-of-the-art tunnel and old network
Innovation

- Innovative approaches expressly permitted by European Directive
- Recent examples: low-pressure mist systems, jet fans with shaped nozzles
- However: conservative operators and regulators; procurement contractually separated from operation
- Evidence on performance and cost benefits must be clear to overcome conservatism

MoJet in Mersey Queensway Tunnel
Service Life

• Structural components: 60-100 years
• Safety equipment: 20-30 years
• Control system: 10-15 years
• Retrofitting / refurbishment costs
• Residual life and hand-back requirements
Aging Equipment

- Emergency exits
- Ventilation system
- Ducts
- Fans
- Dampers
- Sensors
- Safety niches
- Water supply
- ....

San Bernardino Tunnel
Emergency Exits

- Cross-passages generally required every 100m in UK
- However: construction complexity and cost
- Extended to 150m for new Silvertown Tunnel in London, based on risk assessment (fire-fighter response)
- Safety tunnels constructed in parallel to European existing road tunnels
Open road vs tunnel safety regulations

- 2012: Belgian coach crash in Swiss tunnel killed 28, including 22 children
- Separate European directives for open road and tunnel safety regulations
- EU-funded ECOROADS research project: joint safety inspections with tunnel and open road safety experts
Case Study – New Tyne Crossing
The Brief

• Original tunnel was single bore, bidirectional
• New southbound tunnel constructed and opened in 2011
• Risk assessment and a cost-benefit analysis regarding the fire suppression system in NTC
• Make a clear recommendation – yes or no
New Tyne Crossing

A19

East Howdon

Jarrow
Operational features

Excellent safety regime at Tyne Tunnel to be continued and enhanced:

• Escorting of permitted dangerous goods vehicle through the tunnel
• Inspection of heavy goods vehicles prior to entry into the tunnel
• Tunnel control room manned 24 hours a day, with CCTV and Automatic Incident Detection monitors
• Rapid response vehicles with on-board fire-fighting facilities
• Tunnel closure barriers, to prevent entry into the tunnel in an emergency
Structural Fire Safety Features

- A separate evacuation passageway in both tunnels, with double leaf doors at approximately 100m intervals
- Tunnel linings to resist two hours fire according to the enhanced hydrocarbon curve
Fire protection

• Duplicated power supplies
• Smoke detection via digital image processing
• Public access emergency panels at 50 metre intervals
• Locked electrical distribution point panels and Fire Service emergency panels at 50m intervals, including hydrants and gate valves
• Combustible gas detection equipment system and a foam blanket suppression in the mid-river sump, together with associated alarms.
• Comprehensive CCTV coverage of the tunnels and approach roads
Smoke Control

- Longitudinal ventilation system via jet fans in both tunnel bores, controlled by an environmental control system and smoke panels
- Pressurised evacuation passageways
Communications

• Public use emergency telephones
• Emergency radio network with mobile phone support
• Radio Re-Broadcast and Interrupt Facilities
• A Public Address system with speakers in both the traffic spaces and the evacuation passageway
Evacuation Aids

- Provision for 10% of the minimum night time lighting to be supported by UPS equipment, for safe evacuation of the tunnel
- Internally illuminated “running man” signs above each passageway door
- Variable message board signs on the walls and inside the passageway
- ‘Switch on radio’ signs
- Wall mounted direction signs to nearside emergency exits
Fire Suppression System (Generic)

Marioff Corporation

Tube
Assembly body
Open spray head

A protected zone

Zone valve cabinet

Zone tube, normally dry and unpressurised

Water supply line (wet), normally at stand-by pressure
Typical Fire Suppression Test Results

Fuel load = 9 stacks of Euro Pallets 14 high = 252 pallets
Total weight of wood = 6048 kg

Max HRR 23 MW

Expected HRR without fire suppression = 75 MW
Effectiveness of Fire Suppression

- For minor fires – no effect assumed
- For severe fires – 50% reduction in fires progressing from minor to severe (for damage & delay), 25% corresponding reduction for injuries
- For very severe and catastrophic fires - 66% reduction in fires progressing from minor to severe (for damage & delay), 33% corresponding reduction for injuries
Stakeholders’ Involvement

Questionnaires sent to, and meetings held with:

• Tyne & Wear Passenger Transport Authority (now North East Combined Authority)
• Tyne Tunnels
• Bouygues Travaux Publics
• High-Point Rendel
• Highways Agency
• Tyne & Wear Fire & Rescue Service
Cost-Benefit Assessment

• Benefit to Cost Ratio = Relevant Benefits / Relevant Costs over the selected assessment period

• Need to account for the time value of money, via discount rates (HM Treasury’s Green Book)

• Inflation assumptions are as per the Department for Transport’s COBA Manual
Benefits and Costs

Possible benefits:

- Reduction in cost of injuries and emergency services attendance
- Reduction in traffic delays
- Reduction in cost of tunnel damage

Costs:

- Capital costs of fire suppression system
- Cost of refurbishment and maintenance for the fire suppression system
## NTC Project Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Traffic flow management</th>
<th>Is fire suppression system an option in the operating tunnel?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007 to 2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bi-directional</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 to 2012</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bi-directional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2012</td>
<td>3 &amp; 4</td>
<td>Uni-directional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fire Costs

Cumulative Probability Profile for Fire Costs (Case 1)
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Breakdown of Fire Costs

Figure 6.2.2 Proportion of total incident costs from incident types over 60 years of tunnel operation:

- Damage only fire (8%)
- Minor fires (11%)
- Severe fires (18%)
- Very severe fires (21%)
- Catastrophic fires (42%)
Cost Sources

- Traffic Delays: 64%
- Personal Injuries: 27%
- Damage to Structure: 9%

Figure 6.2.3 Proportion of total incident costs from different cost sources over 60 years of tunnel operation.
Benefit Sources

- Reduction in costs of minor fires (42%)
- Reduction in costs of severe fires (29%)
- Reduction in costs of very severe fires (1%)
- Reduction in costs of catastrophic fire (28%)
Benefit to Cost Ratio

BCR of Fire Suppression System (Case 1)

Average BCR = 1.27
Recommendation

- Installation of fixed fire suppression system recommended
- Recommendation was approved by Tunnel Design and Safety Consultation Group and TWPTA (now NECA), and installation undertaken
- Tyne Tunnel was the first road tunnel in the UK to have a fire suppression system installed
Legal Test (Common Law)

*Edwards v National Coal Board* [1949]:

“... a computation must be made by the owner in which the quantum of risk is placed on one scale and the sacrifice involved in the measures necessary for averting the risk (whether in money, time or trouble) is placed in the other, and that, if it be shown that there is a gross disproportion between them – the risk being insignificant in relation to the sacrifice – the defendants discharge the onus on them”
Benefit / Cost Ratio

• Safety benefit / cost > 0.1?
• Can the safety benefit be reasonably and accurately determined?
Conclusions

- Large road tunnel fires at the turn of the century triggered a comprehensive review of safety approaches
- This was coded in norms and regulations to improve safety levels
- Drawbacks
  - Inconsistent approaches
  - Cost, complexity
  - Equipment aging
  - Effect on innovation

- Much more work required to improve safety at an acceptable level of cost
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Any Questions?